

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/754,806	01/02/2001		Q.Z. Liu	00CON122P-DIV1 2716	
25700 7	590 12/12/2003			EXAM	INER
FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP 16148 SAND CANYON			NADAV, ORI		
IRVINE, CA 92618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, ,				2811	

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>*</u>		ier.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Offic Action Summany	09/754,806	LIU ET AL.
Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	ori nadav	2811
→ Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri df r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 160	October 2003 .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 24-26 and 28-48 is/are pending in the		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠. Claim(s) <u>24-26 and 28-48</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappr	oved by the Examiner.
if approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 		
Attachment(s)		•
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 🕻 Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 22

. \$



Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 24-26 and 28-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokogawa (Jp 402262308A) in view of Cornett et al. (6,069,397) and Ewen et al. (5,446,311).

Yokogawa teaches in figure 2 and related text a structure in a semiconductor chip, the structure comprising a first area of dielectric 4 (the top layer) having a first permeability, a second area of dielectric (the white area between inductor 4) having a second permeability, an inductor 4 comprising a square spiral (see figure 1) conductor patterned within the dielectric, patterned in the second area of the dielectric, wherein the material of the second area of the dielectric not being situated underneath the conductor, the first area of the dielectric not being situated underneath the conductor and the second area of the dielectric not being situated over the conductor, and wherein the conductor having first and second terminals, the first and second terminals of the inductor.



Art Unit: 2811

Yokogawa does not teach the material of the first area of dielectric 4 and the material of the second area of dielectric (the white area between inductor 4).

Cornett et al. teach in figure 2 and related text a structure in a semiconductor chip, the structure comprising a dielectric 217 having a first permeability, a permeability conversion magnetic oxide material 223 having a second permeability, the permeability conversion material (metal) being interspersed within the dielectric, wherein the second permeability is greater than the first permeability (column 2, lines 39-62), wherein a second permeability being achieved by interspersing a permeability conversion material (metal particles) within the second area of the dielectric, the permeability conversion material having a third permeability, the third permeability being greater than the first and second permeabilities, an inductor 110 comprising a square spiral (see figure 1) conductor patterned within the dielectric, wherein the permeability conversion material 223 not being situated underneath the conductor, the conductor having first and second terminals, the first and second terminals of the conductor being respectively first and second terminals of the inductor.

Cornett et al. do not explicitly state that the second permeability of magnetic oxide layers 221, 223. is greater than the first permeability of passivation/dielectric layer 217. That is, Cornett et al. do not state that the conventional passivation/dielectric layer 217 comprise silicon oxide. Ewen et al. teach in figure 3 a passivation/dielectric layer 25 comprising silicon oxide.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use silicon oxide as the material for the first area of dielectric 4

Art Unit: 2811

and magnetic oxide as the material of the second area of dielectric (the white area between inductor 4) in Yokogawa's device, as taught by Cornett et al., in order to simplify the processing the steps of the making the device by insulating the device with a conventional silicon oxide insulating material, and in order to improve the magnetic characteristics of the inductor, respectively.

Regarding claims 29, 35 and 46, Yokogawa does not teach using a conductor being selected from the group consisting of copper, aluminum, and copper-aluminum alloy. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a conductor being selected from the group consisting of copper, aluminum, and copper-aluminum alloy in Yokogawa 's device in order to improve the conductivity of the device with a conventional conducting material. Note that substitution of materials is not patentable even when the substitution is new and useful.: Safetran Systems Corp. v. Federal Sign & Signal Corp. (DC NIII, 1981) 215 USPQ 979.

Regarding the processing limitations recited in claims 38, 44 and 45 ("the permeability" conversion material is interspersed in the second dielectric area by ion implantation and by sputtering when the first dielectric area is covered with photo resist"), these would not carry patentable weight in this claim drawn to a structure, because distinct structure is not necessarily produced. Note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann;

Art Unit: 2811

180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); and In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that the applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case law makes clear.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24-26 and 28-48 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology center (TC).

2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the TC

2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4-C23. The faxing of such

papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG

30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 2811 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722

and 308-7724. The Group 2811 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to

Group 2811 applications.

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to *Examiner Nadav* whose telephone number is **(703) 308-8138**. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 7 AM to 4 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **Technology Center Receptionists** whose telephone number is **308-0956**

O.N. December 9, 2003 ORI NADAV
PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Chi Nan